

**Alexis Quesada-Arencibia  
José Carlos Rodríguez  
Roberto Moreno-Díaz  
Roberto Moreno-Díaz jr.  
Gabriel de Blasio  
Carmelo Rubén García (Eds.)**

**EUROCAST 2019**

# **Computer Aided Systems Theory**

**EXTENDED ABSTRACTS**

**17th International Conference on Computer Aided Systems Theory  
Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain, February 2019**

**Seventeenth International Conference on  
COMPUTER AIDED SYSTEMS THEORY**

**EUROCAST 2019**

Edited by

Alexis Quesada-Arencia  
José Carlos Rodríguez-Rodríguez  
Roberto Moreno-Díaz and  
Roberto Moreno-Díaz jr.  
Gabriel de Blasio  
Carmelo Rubén García

# Deep convolutional neural networks for fine-grained car model classification

H. Corrales<sup>1</sup>, D. F. Llorca<sup>1</sup>, I. Parra<sup>1</sup>, and M. A. Sotelo<sup>1</sup>

Computer Engineering Department, University of Alcalá, Alcalá de Henares, Spain.  
{hector.corrales, david.fernandezl, ignacio.parra, miguel.sotelo}@uah.es

**Abstract.** This paper describes an end-to-end training methodology for CNN-based fine-grained vehicle model classification. The method relies exclusively on images, without using complicated architectures. No extra annotations, pose normalization or part localization are needed. Different full CNN models are trained and validated using CompCars [3] dataset, for a total of 431 different car models. We obtained a top-1 accuracy of 97.62% which outperforms previous works substantially.

**Keywords:** Vehicle model · fine-grained classification · CNNs.

## 1 Introduction

Fine-grained classification of cars has great interest for a considerable number of applications. This task can be extremely challenging due to big similarities and subtle differences between related car models including changes in location, viewpoint or pose. Previous works make use of pose normalization, part localization [2] and modeling [1] or additional annotations which brings complex models and tedious elaborated datasets. We propose to train an end-to-end system for fine-grained car model classification using CompCars [3]. We used the classification subset, which contains 44.481 images from 431 different car models, divided into 70% for training and 30% for test.


## 2 System Description and Results

Three base models have been used (ResNet50, ResNet101 and InceptionV3) [4, 5] with a variety of training configurations, including data augmentation, different fine-tuning strategies and learning rate policies. Images are resized to 224x224/229x229 pixels (ResNet/InceptionV3) and randomly augmented including horizontal flip, noise, blur, shear, color casting and color jittering. Two fine-tuning strategies were adopted. First, fine-tuning the pre-trained Imagenet [6] models. Second, a two step fine-tuning process that consists of first training the fully connected part and after that the full network. Regarding learning rate policies two strategies were adopted: constant learning rate and to use a higher learning rate at the beginning and step it down by a factor of 10 every 10 epochs. The use of data augmentation considerably improves the performance. Best results were achieved when using simultaneously data augmentation, two step fine-tuning method and adaptive learning rate (see Table 1).

2 H. Corrales et al.

Model	Data aug.	fine-tuning	lr policy	Top1/5 accuracy (%)
ResNet50	/	full	constant	88.48/97.44
ResNet50	Yes	full	constant	95.48/99.26
ResNet50	Yes	full	step-10	97.03/99.62
ResNet50	Yes	2-step	both	97.16/99.60
ResNet101	Yes	2-step	both	97.59/99.68
InceptionV3	Yes	2-step	step-10	<b>97.62/99.64</b>
GoogLeNet [3]	/	/	/	91.20/98.10

Table 1. Classification accuracy of different experiments.



Peugeot 308	Mercedes A Class	BMW 3 series GT	Audi A4L
Peugeot 308 99.88%	Mercedes A Class 88.53%	BMW 3 series GT 99.94%	Audi A4L 84.11%
Peugeot 408 0.08%	Mercedes C Class 11.21%	BMW 4 series 0.01%	Audi A6L 7.73%
Peugeot 3008 0.01%	Mercedes E Class 0.06%	BMW 3 series ~0.00%	Mercedes S Class 2.76%
Suzuki Alto ~0.00%	Volvo V60 0.05%	Kia Kaizun ~0.00%	Audi S8 2.07%
Peugeot 207 sedan ~0.00%	BAW E series 0.01%	BMW 5 series GT ~0.00%	Audi A4L 0.85%

Fig. 1. Classification results: 3 correct classifications (left) and 1 error (right).

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Research Grant EPIC DPI2017-90035-R.

## References

1. Llorca, D. F. et al.: Vehicle model recognition using geometry and appearance of car emblems from rear view images. IEEE ITSC (2014).
2. Fang, J., Zhou, Y., Yao, Y., Du, S.: Fine-Grained Vehicle Model Recognition Using A Coarse-to-Fine Convolutional Neural Network Architecture. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, vol. 18 (7), pp. 1782-1792 (2017)
3. Yang, L., Luo, P., Loy, C. C., Tang X.: A Large-Scale Car Dataset for Fine-Grained Categorization and Verification. CoRR, vol. abs/1506.08959 (2015)
4. He K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., Sun, J.: Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition. CoRR, vol. abs/1512.03385 (2015)
5. Szegedy C., Vanhoucke, V., Ioffe, S., Shlens, J., Wojna, Z.: Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision. CoRR, vol. abs/1512.00567 (2015)
6. Deng, J., Dong, W., Socher, R., Li, L.-J., Li, K., Fei-Fei, L.: ImageNet: A Large-Scale Hierarchical Image Database. CVPR09 (2009)